arXiv:1412.4763v2 [math.SP] 14 May 2015

ZETA-EQUIVALENT DIGRAPHS: SIMULTANEOUS COSPECTRALITY

PETER HERBRICH

Abstract. We introduce a zeta function of digraphs that determines, and is determined by, the spectra of all linear combinations of the adjacency matrix, its transpose, the out-degree matrix, and the in-degree matrix. In particular, zeta-equivalence of graphs encompasses simultaneous cospectrality with respect to the adjacency, the Laplacian, the signless Laplacian, and the normalized Laplacian matrix. In addition, we express zeta-equivalence in terms of Markov chains and in terms of invasions where each edge is replaced by a fixed digraph. We finish with a method for constructing zeta-equivalent digraphs.

1. Introduction

We study digraphs which either have parallel edges or weighted edges. If G is a digraph of one of these two types, we denote its number of vertices by n_G, its number of edges by m_G, its adjacency matrix by $A_G \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n_G \times n_G}$, its out-degree matrix by D_G^{out}, and its in-degree matrix by D_G^{in}, the latter two of which have the row sums of A_G and A_G^T on their diagonals. We call G an undirected digraph, or simply a graph, if $A_G^T = A_G$ so that $D_G = D_G^{\text{out}} = D_G^{\text{in}}$.

Definition 1. The generalized characteristic polynomial of a digraph G reads

$$\eta_G(x, t_\uparrow, t_\downarrow, u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow) = \det(xI_{n_G} + t_\uparrow D_G^{\text{out}} + t_\downarrow D_G^{\text{in}} + u_\uparrow A_G + u_\downarrow A_G^T).$$

If G is a graph, then

$$\overrightarrow{\eta}_G(x, t, u) = \eta_G(x, t, 0, u, 0) = \det(xI_{n_G} + tD_G + uA_G).$$

The definition of $\overrightarrow{\eta}_G$ goes back to [CDS80]. Note that η_G and $\overrightarrow{\eta}_G$ are homogeneous and generalize the characteristic polynomial $\chi_G(x) = \overrightarrow{\chi}_G(x) = \overrightarrow{\eta}_G(x, 0, -1)$. If G is a graph, then the single-variable polynomials $\overrightarrow{\eta}_G(x, -1, 1)$, $\overrightarrow{\eta}_G(x, -1, -1)$, and $\overrightarrow{\eta}_G(0, t, -1)$ determine the spectra of the Laplacian matrix $D_G - A_G$, the signless Laplacian matrix $D_G + A_G$, and the normalized Laplacian matrix $D_G^{-1/2}(D_G - A_G)D_G^{-1/2}$, respectively, where D_G is assumed to be invertible in the last case.

First, let G be a digraph with weighted edges, none of which are parallel to each other. In Section 2, we introduce an auxiliary digraph $G_\updownarrow$ that allows to study closed walks on the vertices of G, where at each step either an outgoing or an incoming edge is traversed. Every such walk γ inherits a weight $w(\gamma) \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ from the edges it involves. We let $|\gamma|_\uparrow$ and $|\gamma|_\downarrow$ be its directional lengths which are the numbers of outgoing and incoming edges traversed, respectively. Moreover, we let $b_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma)$ be one of its cyclic bump counts given as the number of times two outgoing edges with opposite directions are used consecutively, where the last and

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50 (primary), 05C20, 05C25, 15A15 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Cospectrality; Digraphs; Laplacian matrix; Markov chains; Zeta function.

I am indebted to Peter Doyle for initiating this research and for his indispensable contributions, and to Everett Sullivan for writing parts of the code used to search for zeta-equivalent digraphs.

first edge are considered consecutive. The cyclic bump counts $b_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\gamma)$, $b_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma)$, and $b_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\gamma)$ are defined similarly. The directional lengths and cyclic bump counts of a closed walk γ descend to its equivalence class $[\gamma]$ under cyclic permutation of edges, called its cycle. Lastly, a cycle is called primitive if none of its representatives is a multiple of a strictly shorter closed walk.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{P}_G$ and $\mathcal{P}_{G_\updownarrow}$ denote the set of primitive cycles in G and $G_\updownarrow$, respectively. The zeta function of G with arguments $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_{\uparrow\uparrow}, t_{\downarrow\downarrow}, t_{\uparrow\downarrow}, t_{\downarrow\uparrow})$ and $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow)$ is given for sufficiently small $|\boldsymbol{t}|$ and $|\boldsymbol{u}|$ by

$$\zeta_G(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \prod_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{P}_{G_\updownarrow}} \frac{1}{1 - w(\gamma) t_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{b_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma)} t_{\downarrow\downarrow}^{b_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\gamma)} t_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{b_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma)} t_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{b_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\gamma)} u_\uparrow^{|\gamma|_\uparrow} u_\downarrow^{|\gamma|_\downarrow}}.$$

The reversing zeta function is obtained by setting $t_{\uparrow\uparrow} = t_{\downarrow\downarrow} = 1$, namely,

$$\zeta_G^{\uparrow\downarrow}(t_\uparrow, t_\downarrow, u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow) = \zeta_G(1, 1, t_\uparrow, t_\downarrow, u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow).$$

The outgoing zeta function is obtained by setting $u_\downarrow = 0$, namely,

$$\zeta_G^{\uparrow\uparrow}(t, u) = \zeta_G(t, 0, 0, 0, u, 0) = \zeta_G(t, 1, 1, 1, u, 0) = \prod_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{P}_G} \frac{1}{1 - w(\gamma) t^{b_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma)} u^{|\gamma|_\uparrow}}.$$

Among other things, we show that ζ_G^{-1} is a polynomial. Choe et al. [CKPS07] considered $\zeta_G^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ for weighted digraphs without loops. As a by-product, we provide an alternative derivation of the corresponding polynomial expression of $(\zeta_G^{\uparrow\uparrow})^{-1}$. If G is an unweighted graph, then $\zeta_G^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ reduces to the zeta function introduced by Bartholdi [Bar99], which in turn generalizes the mother of all graph zeta functions, the Ihara-Selberg zeta function [Iha66]

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{IS}}(u) = \zeta_G^{\uparrow\uparrow}(0, u) = \zeta_G(0, 0, 0, 0, u, 0).$$

Since cycles with bumps contribute a negligible factor of 1 to the product expression of ζ_{IS}, the original definition of ζ_{IS} involved reduced cycles, none of whose representatives has a bump. We point out the pioneering works of Ihara [Iha66] and Bass [Bas92], who found the polynomial expression of ζ_{IS}^{-1} for regular and non-regular graphs, respectively.

Now, let G be an unweighted digraph, possibly with parallel edges. In Section 3, we introduce invaded digraphs $S \succ G$ that arise from G by replacing each of its edges by an invader S which is a digraph with two distinguished vertices t and h. If G is a graph and S is symmetric meaning it has an automorphism that interchanges t and h, then the symmetrically invaded digraph $S \succeq G$ arises by replacing each undirected edge of G by S.

Finally, let G be an unweighted graph, possibly with parallel edges. For every pair of positive integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $G_{a,b}$ be the digraph obtained by attaching a parallel loops to every vertex of G, adding a vertex v with a single loop to G, and adding b parallel directed edges from every vertex of G to v. We consider the Markov process on the vertices of $G_{a,b}$, where at each step one of the outgoing edges is chosen with uniform probability. The spectrum of the corresponding transition matrix is determined by the a-lazy b-deadly Markov chain function defined as follows.

Definition 3. The a-lazy b-deadly Markov chain function is given for $a, b > 0$ by

$$\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G(x, a, b) = \det(xI_{n_G} + ((a+b)I_{n_G} + D_G)^{-1}(A_G + aI_{n_G})).$$

The aforementioned definitions apply to simple unweighted digraphs and graphs, for which they lead to the same equivalence relation as stated by the following main theorems. Their proofs are given in Sections 2 to 4, each of which contains results of independent interest.

Theorem 4. *If G and G' are simple digraphs with $n_G = n_{G'}$, then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\zeta_G^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \zeta_{G'}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$,
(2) $\eta_G = \eta_{G'}$,
(3) $\eta_{S\succ G} = \eta_{S\succ G'}$ *for every invader* S,
(4) $\chi_{S\succ G} = \chi_{S\succ G'}$ *for every invader* S,
(5) $\chi_{S\succ G} = \chi_{S\succ G'}$ *for every invader* S *with* $A_S \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2\times 2}$.

Theorem 5. *If G and G' are simple graphs with $n_G = n_{G'}$, then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\zeta_G^{\uparrow\uparrow} = \zeta_{G'}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$,
(2) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G'}$,
(3) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G|b=c} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G'|b=c}$ *for some* $c \in \mathbb{C}$.
(4) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_{G'}$,
(5) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_{S\succeq G} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_{S\succeq G'}$ *for every symmetric invader* S,
(6) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G'}$ *for every symmetric invader* S,
(7) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G'}$ *for every undirected path* S *with endpoints* t *and* h,
(8) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G'}$ *for every* S *with* $A_S = \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 \\ 1 & k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2\times 2}$.
(9) $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\chi}}_{S\succeq G'}$ *for every* S *with* $A_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2\times 2}$.

Definition 6. Two simple digraphs (or graphs) G and G' are called zeta-equivalent if they satisfy any of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 4 (or Theorem 5).

Durfee and Martin [DM14] enumerated all zeta-equivalent graphs with up to 11 vertices. For regular graphs, zeta-equivalence coincides with cospectrality with respect to the adjacency matrix, Laplacian matrix, or signless Laplacian matrix, respectively. In contrast, a non-regular graph G may be uniquely determined by $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G$ as studied in [WLLX11, DM14], but not by some of the single-variable polynomials $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G(x, 0, -1)$, $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G(x, -1, 1)$, and $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G(x, -1, -1)$. It is known that almost all trees are zeta-equivalent to some other tree [Osb13], yet if G and G' are non-isomorphic trees, then there exists a two-variable real polynomial p such that $\det(xI_{n_G} + p(A_G, D_G)) \neq \det(xI_{n_{G'}} + p(A_{G'}, D_{G'}))$ [McK77]. The proof of the former statement is largely the same as in [Sch73], which settles the case of adjacency cospectral trees.

Figure 1 shows the smallest zeta-equivalent (weakly) connected simple (di)graphs. The graphs in Figure 1(B) arise from a method which we introduce in Section 5. The digraphs in Figure 1(A) are zeta-equivalent since their generalized Laplacian matrices $t_\uparrow D_G^{\text{out}} + t_\downarrow D_G^{\text{in}} + u_\uparrow A_G + u_\downarrow A_G^T$ are conjugated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_\uparrow^2 - t_\downarrow u_\downarrow & u_\uparrow u_\downarrow & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_\downarrow^2 & u_\uparrow^2 + t_\downarrow u_\downarrow & u_\downarrow^2 & u_\uparrow u_\downarrow & 0 \\ 0 & u_\downarrow^2 & u_\uparrow u_\downarrow & u_\uparrow^2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_\uparrow u_\downarrow & u_\uparrow^2 & u_\downarrow^2 + t_\uparrow u_\uparrow & u_\uparrow^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_\uparrow u_\downarrow & u_\downarrow^2 - u_\uparrow t_\uparrow \end{pmatrix},$$

which has determinant $(u_\uparrow^5 - 2u_\uparrow^2 u_\downarrow^3 - t_\downarrow^2 u_\uparrow u_\downarrow^2 + t_\downarrow u_\downarrow^4)(u_\downarrow^5 - 2u_\downarrow^2 u_\uparrow^3 - t_\uparrow^2 u_\downarrow u_\uparrow^2 + t_\uparrow u_\uparrow^4)$. It is worth mentioning that the adjacency matrices of these digraphs have different Jordan normal forms, which is why the conjugating matrix has to become singular at $t_\uparrow = t_\downarrow = u_\downarrow = 0$.



(A) Zeta-equivalent digraphs (B) Zeta-equivalent complementary graphs

FIGURE 1. Smallest zeta-equivalent (weakly) connected simple (di)graphs. The right-hand pair was found by Durfee and Martin [DM14]. It is also the smallest pair of cospectral graphs with cospectral line graphs, cospectral complements, and complements that have cospectral line graphs [GM76].

2. Zeta functions

Let G be a digraph with weighted non-parallel edges. We let $W_G \in \mathbb{C}^{n_G \times n_G}$ denote the weighted adjacency matrix of G which arises form $A_G \in \{0,1\}^{n_G \times n_G}$ by replacing each 1 by the weight $w(e) \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ of the corresponding directed edge e of G. Moreover, we let $A_G^{\mathrm{sym}} \in \{0,1\}^{n_G \times n_G}$ be the symmetric part of A_G with entries $[A_G^{\mathrm{sym}}]_{ij} = [A_G]_{ij}[A_G]_{ji}$, $W_G^{\mathrm{sym}} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_G \times n_G}$ be the corresponding part of W_G with entries $[W_G^{\mathrm{sym}}]_{ij} = [A_G^{\mathrm{sym}}]_{ij}[W_G]_{ij}$, and D_G^{sym} be the diagonal matrix that has the row sums of A_G^{sym} on its diagonal.

If $e = (v, v')$ is an edge of G, we call $t(e) = v$ and $h(e) = v'$ the tail and head of e, respectively. We write $e \to e'$ whenever $h(e) = t(e')$. A path γ in G of length $|\gamma| \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a sequence of edges $\gamma = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{|\gamma|})$ with $e_i \to e_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, |\gamma| - 1\}$. We call $w(\gamma) = w(e_1)w(e_2)\cdots w(e_{|\gamma|})$ the weight of γ, and let $t(\gamma) = t(e_1)$ as well as $h(\gamma) = h(e_{|\gamma|})$. A circuit is a path γ with $h(\gamma) = t(\gamma)$, and the equivalence class under cyclic permutation of its edges is called a cycle, denoted by $[\gamma]$. If γ is a circuit and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we let γ^k denote the k-fold concatenation with itself, in particular, $|\gamma^k| = k|\gamma|$ and $w(\gamma^k) = (w(\gamma))^k$. A cycle is called primitive if none of its representatives is of the form γ^k with $k \geq 2$.

If e is an edge, then $e' = (h(e), t(e))$ is said to be reverse to e, which is indicated by $e' \rightleftarrows e$. The graph G is said to have reciprocal weights if $w(e') = w(e)^{-1}$ whenever $e' \rightleftarrows e$. In this case, $w(e) = \pm 1$ if e is a loop. Let $G_\updownarrow$ denote the digraph obtained by adding a reverse edge of reciprocal weight to each edge of G. Note that $G_\updownarrow$ has pairs of parallel edges if G has loops or pairs of mutually reverse edges. We define the direction of edges e of $G_\updownarrow$ as

$$\mathrm{dir}(e) = \begin{cases} \uparrow & \text{if } e \text{ belongs to } G, \\ \downarrow & \text{if } e \text{ was added.} \end{cases}$$

To each circuit $\gamma = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{|\gamma|})$ in $G_\updownarrow$, we associate 4 non-negative integers, called its cyclic bump counts. For $d, d' \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, we define

$$b_{dd'}(\gamma) \;=\; |\{i = 1, \ldots, |\gamma| \mid \mathrm{dir}(e_i) = d, \mathrm{dir}(e_{i+1}) = d', e_i \rightleftarrows e_{i+1}\}|,$$

where $e_{|\gamma|+1} = e_1$. Moreover, we define directional lengths as

$$|\gamma|_d = |\{i = 1, \ldots, |\gamma| \mid \mathrm{dir}(e_i) = d\}|, \text{ so that } |\gamma| = |\gamma|_\uparrow + |\gamma|_\downarrow.$$

As noted in Section 1, weights, cyclic bump counts, and directional lengths are well-defined for cycles. We encode these quantities in terms of matrices associated with the line graph

of $G_{\updownarrow}$. For $d, d' \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, let $B_{dd'} \in \mathbb{C}^{2m_G \times 2m_G}$ be the weighted bump matrix given by

$$[B_{dd'}]_{ee'} = \begin{cases} w(e) & \text{if } \operatorname{dir}(e) = d, \operatorname{dir}(e') = d', \text{ and } e \rightleftarrows e', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $C_d, M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m_G \times 2m_G}$ be the weighted adjacency matrices given by

$$[C_d]_{ee'} = \begin{cases} w(e) & \text{if } \operatorname{dir}(e) = d \text{ and } e \to e', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u}) = u_\uparrow(C_\uparrow + (t_{\uparrow\uparrow} - 1)B_{\uparrow\uparrow} + (t_{\uparrow\downarrow} - 1)B_{\uparrow\downarrow}) + u_\downarrow(C_\downarrow + (t_{\downarrow\downarrow} - 1)B_{\downarrow\downarrow} + (t_{\downarrow\uparrow} - 1)B_{\downarrow\uparrow}),$$

where we reused the abbreviations $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_{\uparrow\uparrow}, t_{\downarrow\downarrow}, t_{\uparrow\downarrow}, t_{\downarrow\uparrow})$ and $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow)$ from Definition 2. In order to translate cycles in $G_{\updownarrow}$ to closed walks on the vertices of G, we let $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n_G \times 2m_G}$ be the tail-incidence matrix of $G_{\updownarrow}$ given by

$$[T]_{ve} = \begin{cases} 1 & t(e) = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7. *The function ζ_G^{-1} is a polynomial in the components of $\boldsymbol{t}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}$, namely,*

$$\zeta_G^{-1}(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \det(I_{2m_G} - M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})).$$

If G has reciprocal weights, then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_G^{-1}(1, 1, t_{\uparrow\downarrow}, t_{\downarrow\uparrow}, u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow) &= (1 - s_\uparrow s_\downarrow)^{m_G - n_G} \det((1 - s_\uparrow s_\downarrow) I_{n_G} - u_\uparrow W_G - u_\downarrow W_G^* \\ &\qquad - s_\uparrow u_\downarrow D_G^{\text{out}} - s_\downarrow u_\uparrow D_G^{\text{in}}), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $s_\uparrow = u_\uparrow(t_{\uparrow\downarrow} - 1)$, $s_\downarrow = u_\downarrow(t_{\downarrow\uparrow} - 1)$, and W_G^* denotes the weighted adjacency matrix of the added edges in $G_{\updownarrow}$, i.e., W_G^* equals W_G^T up to inversion of its nonzero entries, and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_G^{-1}(t_{\uparrow\uparrow}, 1, 1, 1, u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow) &= (1 - s_\uparrow)^{l_G^+}(1 + s_\uparrow)^{l_G^-}(1 - s_\uparrow^2)^{r_G - n_G} \det((1 - s_\uparrow^2) I_{n_G} \\ &\qquad -(1 - s_\uparrow^2)(u_\uparrow W_G + u_\downarrow W_G^*) - s_\uparrow u_\uparrow D_G^{\text{sym}} - s_\uparrow^2 u_\uparrow W_G^{\text{sym}}), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $s_\uparrow = u_\uparrow(t_{\uparrow\uparrow} - 1)$, $l_G^\pm = \operatorname{tr}(A_G \pm W_G)/2$, and $r_G = \operatorname{tr}(A_G^2)/2 - \operatorname{tr}(A_G)$, the latter of which are the number of loops with weight ± 1 and pairs of mutually reverse edges of G, respectively.

Proof. Using ideas from [Has89, Has92, Bas92, FZ99, CKPS07, Bar08], we let L be the set of Lyndon words of the ordered set $X = \{1, 2, \ldots, 2m_G\}$, i.e., words in the free monoid X^* that are minimal among their cyclic rearrangements with respect to the lexicographical order, and that are not of the form ω^k for some $\omega \in X^*$ and $k \geq 2$. Let $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_{2m_G}$ be the matrices obtained from $M = M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})$ by multiplying all but one of its rows by 0, namely, $[M_i]_{jk} = \delta_{ij}[M]_{jk}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. For $\omega = i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{|\omega|} \in L$, let $M_\omega = M_{i_1} M_{i_2} \cdots M_{i_{|\omega|}}$. According to [FZ99], Amitsur's identity [Ami80] reads

$$\det(I_{2m_G} - M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})) = \det(I_{2m_G} - (M_1 + M_2 + \ldots + M_{2m_G})) = \prod_{\omega \in L} \det(I_{2m_G} - M_\omega),$$

and holds as an identity of formal power series in the entries of $M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})$. Note that $[M_\omega]_{jk} = 0$ for all $j \neq i_1$, and that $z_\omega = [M_\omega]_{i_1 i_1} = [M]_{i_1 i_2}[M]_{i_2 i_3} \cdots [M]_{i_{|\omega|} i_1}$. In particular,

$$\det(I_{2m_G} - M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})) = \prod_{\omega \in L} \det(1 - z_\omega).$$

If $\omega = i_1 i_2 \dots i_{|\omega|} \in L$, then $z_\omega = 0$ unless $\gamma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{|\omega|})$ is a circuit in G, in which case

$$z_\omega = w(\gamma) t_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{b_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma)} t_{\downarrow\downarrow}^{b_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\gamma)} t_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{b_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma)} t_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{b_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\gamma)} u_\uparrow^{|\gamma|_\uparrow} u_\downarrow^{|\gamma|_\downarrow}.$$

In order to verify (1) and (2), we consider the $(2m_G + n_G) \times (2m_G + n_G)$ block matrices

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2m_G} & G \\ 0 & zI_{n_G} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad L = \begin{pmatrix} E & -G \\ -T & I_{n_G} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K' = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2m_G} & FG \\ 0 & zI_{n_G} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $E, F \in \mathbb{C}^{2m_G \times 2m_G}$, and $G \in \mathbb{C}^{2m_G \times n_G}$. Since $\det(K) = \det(K')$, we have $\det(KL) = \det(LK')$. The latter are given by

$$\det(KL) = \det \begin{pmatrix} E - GT & 0 \\ -zT & zI_{n_G} \end{pmatrix} = z^{n_G} \det(E - GT)$$

and, provided that $EF = zI_{2m_G}$,

$$\det(LK') = \begin{pmatrix} E & (EF - zI_{2m_G})G \\ -T & zI_{n_G} - TFG \end{pmatrix} = \det(E) \det(zI_{n_G} - TFG).$$

We choose

$$G = (u_\uparrow B_{\uparrow\downarrow} + u_\downarrow B_{\downarrow\uparrow}) T^T, \qquad \text{so that} \qquad GT = u_\uparrow C_\uparrow + u_\downarrow C_\downarrow.$$

In order to show (1), we let $s_\uparrow = u_\uparrow(t_{\uparrow\downarrow} - 1)$, $s_\downarrow = u_\downarrow(t_{\downarrow\uparrow} - 1)$, $z = 1 - s_\uparrow s_\downarrow$,

$$E = I_{2m_G} - s_\uparrow B_{\uparrow\downarrow} - s_\downarrow B_{\downarrow\uparrow}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad F = I_{2m_G} + s_\uparrow B_{\uparrow\downarrow} + s_\downarrow B_{\downarrow\uparrow}.$$

Since $B_{\uparrow\downarrow}^2 = B_{\downarrow\uparrow}^2 = 0$ and $B_{\uparrow\downarrow} B_{\downarrow\uparrow} + B_{\downarrow\uparrow} B_{\uparrow\downarrow} = I_{2m_G}$, we have

$$EF = I_{2m_G} - s_\uparrow s_\downarrow (B_{\uparrow\downarrow} B_{\downarrow\uparrow} + B_{\downarrow\uparrow} B_{\uparrow\downarrow}) = zI_{2m_G}.$$

Moreover, $E - GT$ equals $I_{2m_G} - M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})$ with $t_{\uparrow\uparrow} = t_{\downarrow\downarrow} = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} TFG &= u_\uparrow T B_{\uparrow\downarrow} T^T + u_\downarrow T B_{\downarrow\uparrow} T^T + s_\uparrow u_\downarrow T B_{\uparrow\downarrow} B_{\downarrow\uparrow} T^T + s_\downarrow u_\uparrow T B_{\downarrow\uparrow} B_{\uparrow\downarrow} T^T \\ &= u_\uparrow W_G + u_\downarrow W_G^* + s_\uparrow u_\downarrow D_G^{\text{out}} + s_\downarrow u_\uparrow D_G^{\text{in}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we number the edges of $G_\updownarrow$ so that each edge of G is followed by its added reverse, then E turns into a block-diagonal matrix with m_G blocks of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -s_\uparrow w(e) \\ -s_\downarrow w(e)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ showing that } \det(E) = (1 - s_\uparrow s_\downarrow)^{m_G} = z^{m_G}. \tag{3}$$

In order to show (2), we let $s_\uparrow = u_\uparrow(t_{\uparrow\uparrow} - 1)$ and $z = 1 - s_\uparrow^2$, as well as

$$E = I_{2m_G} - s_\uparrow B_{\uparrow\uparrow} \qquad \text{and} \qquad F = (1 - s_\uparrow^2) I_{2m_G} + s_\uparrow B_{\uparrow\uparrow} + s_\uparrow^2 B_{\uparrow\uparrow}^2.$$

Since $B_{\uparrow\uparrow}^3 = B_{\uparrow\uparrow}$, we have $EF = (1 - s_\uparrow^2) I_{2m_G} = zI_{2m_G}$. Also, $E - GT$ equals $I_{2m_G} - M(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})$ with $t_{\downarrow\downarrow} = t_{\uparrow\downarrow} = t_{\downarrow\uparrow} = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} TFG &= (1 - s_\uparrow^2)(u_\uparrow T B_{\uparrow\downarrow} T^T + u_\downarrow T B_{\downarrow\uparrow} T^T) + s_\uparrow u_\uparrow T B_{\uparrow\uparrow} B_{\uparrow\downarrow} T^T + s_\uparrow^2 u_\uparrow T B_{\uparrow\uparrow}^2 B_{\uparrow\downarrow} T^T \\ &= (1 - s_\uparrow^2)(u_\uparrow W_G + u_\downarrow W_G^*) + s_\uparrow u_\uparrow D_G^{\text{sym}} + s_\uparrow^2 u_\uparrow W_G^{\text{sym}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3), each loop e and each pair of mutually reverse edges of G contributes a factor of $1 - s_\uparrow w(e)$ and $1 - s_\uparrow^2$ to $\det(E)$, respectively. □

3. Invasions

In this section, we consider unweighted digraphs that may have parallel edges.

Definition 8. An invader is a digraph S with $n_S \geq 2$ vertices, the first and last of which are called native. The $n_S - 2$ remaining vertices of S are called invasive. If G is a digraph, then the invaded digraph $S \succ G$ is obtained by replacing each edge (v, v') of G with a copy of S, where v and v' are identified with the first and last vertex of S, respectively.

Let S be an invader and let t and h denote its first and last vertex, respectively. Moreover, let C denote the subdigraph of S induced by the $n_C = n_S - 2$ invasive vertices. In particular, A_S takes the block form

$$A_S = \begin{pmatrix} [A_S]_{tt} & A_{t\rightarrow} & [A_S]_{th} \\ A_{t\leftarrow} & A_C & A_{h\leftarrow} \\ [A_S]_{ht} & A_{h\rightarrow} & [A_S]_{hh} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{t\rightarrow}, A_{h\rightarrow} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{1\times n_C}$ and $A_{t\leftarrow}, A_{h\leftarrow} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n_C\times 1}$. We identify the vertices of G with the native ones of $S \succ G$. In particular, we number the vertices of $S \succ G$, starting with vertices of G followed by one block of invasive ones per edge of G, so that $A_{S\succ G}$ takes the block form

$$A_{S\succ G} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{t\leftrightarrow h} & B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_{m_G} \\ B_1' & A_C & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_2' & 0 & A_C & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{m_G}' & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_C \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$A_{t\leftrightarrow h} = [A_S]_{tt} D_G^{\text{out}} + [A_S]_{hh} D_G^{\text{in}} + [A_S]_{th} A_G + [A_S]_{ht} A_G^T, \tag{5}$$

and each B_i has either one or two possibly nonzero rows, given by

$$A_{t\rightarrow} + A_{h\rightarrow} \qquad \text{or} \qquad A_{t\rightarrow} \text{ and } A_{h\rightarrow},$$

respectively, and similarly for the B_i' blocks. Let $\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C)$ denote the adjugate matrix of $xI_{n_C} - A_C$, in particular,

$$(xI_{n_C} - A_C)\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C) = \det(xI_{n_C} - A_C)I_{n_C} = \chi_C(x)I_{n_C}.$$

Proposition 9. *The characteristic polynomial of $S \succ G$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{S\succ G}(x) \;=\; & \chi_C^{m_G - n_G}(x) \det\Big(x\chi_C(x)I_{n_G} \\ & - \left([A_S]_{tt}\chi_C(x) + p_{tt}(x)\right) D_G^{\text{out}} - \left([A_S]_{hh}\chi_C(x) + p_{hh}(x)\right) D_G^{\text{in}} \\ & - \left([A_S]_{th}\chi_C(x) + p_{th}(x)\right) A_G - \left([A_S]_{ht}\chi_C(x) + p_{ht}(x)\right) A_G^T\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_{vv'}(x) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_C} [A_{v\rightarrow}]_j [\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C)]_{jk} [A_{v'\leftarrow}]_k. \tag{6}$$

Proof. The polynomial $\chi_{S\succ G}(x)\chi_C^{n_G}(x)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}(7)\qquad &\det\begin{pmatrix} xI_{n_G}-A_{t\leftrightarrow h} & -B_1 & \cdots & -B_{m_G}\\ -B_1' & xI_{n_C}-A_C & & 0\\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots\\ -B_{m_G}' & 0 & \cdots & xI_{n_C}-A_C\end{pmatrix}\det\begin{pmatrix} \chi_C(x)I_{n_G} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \operatorname{adj}(xI-A_C)B_1' & I_{n_C} & & 0\\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots\\ \operatorname{adj}(xI-A_C)B_{m_G}' & 0 & \cdots & I_{n_C}\end{pmatrix}\\ &=\det\begin{pmatrix} M(x) & -B_1 & \cdots & -B_{m_G}\\ 0 & xI_{n_C}-A_C & & 0\\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & xI_{n_C}-A_C\end{pmatrix}=\chi_C^{m_G}(x)\det(M(x)),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8)\qquad M(x)=\chi_C(x)(xI_{n_G}-A_{t\leftrightarrow h})-\sum_{i=1}^{m_G}B_i\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)B_i'.$$

If the blocks B_i and B_i' correspond to the edge (v,v') of G, then the only possibly nonzero entries of $B_i\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)B_i'$ have indices in $\{v,v'\}$. If $v=v'$, we have

$$[B_i\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)B_i']_{vv}=\sum_{j,k=1}^{n_C}[A_{t\rightarrow}+A_{h\rightarrow}]_j[\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)]_{jk}[A_{t\leftarrow}+A_{h\leftarrow}]_k,$$

whereas if $v\neq v'$ and $w,w'\in\{v,v'\}$, we have

$$[B_i\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)B_i']_{ww'}=\sum_{j,k=1}^{n_C}[A_{q(w)\rightarrow}]_j[\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)]_{jk}[A_{q(w')\leftarrow}]_k,$$

where $q(v)=t$ and $q(v')=h$. In any case,

$$(9)\qquad \sum_{i=1}^{m_G}B_i\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)B_i'=p_{tt}(x)D_G^{\text{out}}+p_{hh}(x)D_G^{\text{in}}+p_{th}(x)A_G+p_{ht}(x)A_G^T.$$

The claim now follows from (7), (8), (5), and (9). □

Corollary 10. *If S is a directed path from t to h with n_S vertices, then*

$$\chi_{S\succ G}(x)=x^{(n_S-2)(m_G-n_G)}\chi_G(x^{n_S-1})=x^{(n_S-2)(m_G-n_G)}\det(x^{n_S-1}I_{n_G}-A_G).$$

Proof. We may assume that

$$A_S=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}[A_S]_{tt} & A_{t\rightarrow} & [A_S]_{th}\\ \hline A_{t\leftarrow} & A_C & A_{h\leftarrow}\\ \hline [A_S]_{ht} & A_{h\rightarrow} & [A_S]_{hh}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c}0 & 1 & & & 0\\ \hline & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1\\ \hline 0 & & & & 0\end{array}\right).$$

Thus, $\chi_C(x)=x^{n_C}=x^{n_S-2}$, and the polynomials in (6) are given by $p_{tt}(x)=0$, $p_{hh}(x)=0$, $p_{ht}(x)=0$, and $p_{th}(x)=[\operatorname{adj}(xI_{n_C}-A_C)]_{1n_C}=1$, which shows the claimed statement. □

Corollary 11. *If S is an undirected path from t to h with n_S vertices, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{S\succ G}(x)=&U_{n_S-2}^{m_G-n_G}(x/2)\det\big(xU_{n_S-2}(x/2)I_{n_G}\\ &-U_{n_S-3}(x/2)\left(D_G^{\text{out}}+D_G^{\text{in}}\right)-\left(A_G+A_G^T\right)\big),\end{aligned}$$

where $U_{-1}=0$ and $U_{n\geq 0}$ is the nth Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

Proof. It is well-known that an undirected path P with n vertices has characteristic polynomial $\chi_P(x) = U_n(x/2)$. Hence, $\chi_C(x) = U_{n_C}(x/2) = U_{n_S-2}(x/2)$. The claimed statement now follows from

$$\begin{array}{lllll} p_{tt}(x) & = [\mathrm{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C)]_{11} & = U_{n_C-1}(x/2) & = [\mathrm{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C)]_{n_C n_C} & = p_{hh}(x), \\ p_{th}(x) & = [\mathrm{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C)]_{1 n_C} & = \quad 1 \quad & = [\mathrm{adj}(xI_{n_C} - A_C)]_{n_C 1} & = p_{ht}(x). \end{array}$$

□

Corollary 12. *If G and G' are digraphs with $n_G = n_{G'}$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\eta_G = \eta_{G'}$,
2. $\eta_{S\succ G} = \eta_{S\succ G'}$ *for every invader* S,
3. $\chi_{S\succ G} = \chi_{S\succ G'}$ *for every invader* S,
4. $\chi_{S\succ G} = \chi_{S\succ G'}$ *for every invader* S *with* $A_S \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2\times 2}$.

Proof. Since $\eta_G(x,t,0,0,0) = \det(xI_{n_G} + tD_G^{\mathrm{out}})$ determines m_G, Proposition 9 gives (1)⇒(3). As (2)⇒(3)⇒(4), it thus suffices to show that (4)⇒(1) and (3)⇒(2). If $A_S \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2\times 2}$, then $A_{S\succ G} = A_{t\leftrightarrow h}$ in (4) so that (5) gives

$$\chi_{S\succ G}(x) = \det(xI_{n_G} - [A_S]_{tt} D_G^{\mathrm{out}} - [A_S]_{hh} D_G^{\mathrm{in}} - [A_S]_{th} A_G - [A_S]_{ht} A_G^T).$$

As the polynomial η_G is determined by its values on $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^4$, it follows that (4)⇒(1). We finish by showing that (3)⇒(2). If S are S' are invaders, and if the first and last vertex of $S'\succ S$ are chosen to be the first and last one of S, then $S'\succ(S\succ G)$ and $(S'\succ S)\succ G$ are isomorphic graphs. Thus, if (3) holds, then for any fixed S and all S' with $A_{S'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2\times 2}$,

$$\chi_{S'\succ(S\succ G)} = \chi_{(S'\succ S)\succ G} = \chi_{(S'\succ S)\succ G'} = \chi_{S'\succ(S\succ G')},$$

which, by virtue of the implication (4)⇒(1), shows that (3)⇒(2). □

Definition 13. An invader S is called symmetric if it has an automorphism that interchanges its native vertices. If G is a graph without loops and S is symmetric, then the symmetrically invaded digraph $S\succeq G$ is obtained by replacing each undirected edge $\{(v,v'),(v',v)\}$ of G with a copy of S, where v and v' are identified with the first and last vertex of S, respectively.

Symmetric invasions are well-defined up to isomorphism. If S is a symmetric invader, then $[A_S]_{tt} = [A_S]_{hh}$ and $[A_S]_{th} = [A_S]_{ht}$ so that $A_{S\succeq G}$ takes block form with $[A_S]_{tt}D_G + [A_S]_{th}A_G$ as its first block. Proposition 9 and Corollary 11 simplify as follows for loop-free graphs G with $\vec{\vec{m}}_G = m_G/2$ undirected edges.

Proposition 14. *The characteristic polynomial of $S\succeq G$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{S\succeq G}(x) \;=\; \chi_C^{\vec{\vec{m}}_G - n_G}(x) \det\Big(& x\chi_C(x) I_{n_G} - ([A_S]_{tt}\chi_C(x) + p_{tt}(x))\, D_G \\ & - ([A_S]_{th}\chi_C(x) + p_{th}(x))\, A_G \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 15. *If S is an undirected path from t to h with n_S vertices, then*

$$\chi_{S\succeq G}(x) = U_{n_S-2}^{\vec{\vec{m}}_G - n_G}(x/2) \det\left(xU_{n_S-2}(x/2) I_{n_G} - U_{n_S-3}(x/2) D_G - A_G\right).$$

The graph $S\succeq G$ in the previous corollary arises by introducing $n_C = n_S - 2$ additional vertices on each edge of G, and is therefore known as the n_Cth subdivision graph of G. In particular, [Mnu80] contains an independent proof of Corollary 15. Similarly to Corollary 12, we obtain that the conditions (4) to (9) in Theorem 5 are equivalent to each other.

4. Markov chains

Let G be an unweighted graph that may have parallel edges. Let $\mathfrak{D}_G$ denote its degree sequence, i.e., the sequence of its vertex degrees arranged in non-increasing order. Recall that

$$\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G(x,t,u) = \det(xI_{n_G} + tD_G + uA_G)$$

and

$$\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G(x,a,b) = \det(xI_{n_G} + ((a+b)I_{n_G} + D_G)^{-1}(A_G + aI_{n_G})).$$

Proposition 16. *Each of the polynomials $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G$ and $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G$ determines $\mathfrak{D}_G$. If G is simple, then for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ the two-variable polynomial $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G|b=c}$ determines $\mathfrak{D}_G$.*

Proof. The diagonal entries of D_G are the roots of $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G(x,-1,0)$. As for $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G$, we note that $a^{-n_G}\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G(ax,a,1-a) = \det(xI_{n_G}+(I_{n_G}+D_G)^{-1}(a^{-1}A_G+I_{n_G}))$, which converges to $\det(xI_{n_G}+(I_{n_G}+D_G)^{-1})$ as $a\to\infty$. The latter polynomial determines the eigenvalues of $(I_{n_G}+D_G)^{-1}$, which in turn determine $\mathfrak{D}_G$. If G is a simple graph and $c\in\mathbb{C}$, then the coefficient of x^{n_G-1} in $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G(x,a,c)$ is given by

$$f(a) = \operatorname{tr}\left(((a+c)I_{n_G} + D_G)^{-1}(A_G + aI_{n_G})\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \frac{a}{a+c+[D_G]_{ii}}.$$

In particular, G has n vertices of degree d if and only if the singular part of f as $a\to -(c+d)$ equals $na(a+c+d)^{-1}$, which implies the last statement. □

Corollary 17. *If G and G' are simple graphs with $n_G = n_{G'}$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_{G'}$,
2. $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G'}$,
3. $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G|b=c} = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_{G'|b=c}$ *for some $c\in\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. In any of the three cases, we have $\mathfrak{D}_G = \mathfrak{D}_{G'}$ by virtue of Proposition 16. In particular, $f(a,b) = \det((a+b)I_{n_G}+D_G) = \det((a+b)I_{n_{G'}}+D_{G'})$. The claimed equivalences now follow from the homogeneity of $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G$ and $\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_{G'}$ as well as the identity

$$f(a,b)\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mu}}_G(x,a,b) = \det((a+xa+xb)I_{n_G} + xD_G + A_G) = \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\eta}}_G(a+xa+xb,x,1).$$

□

5. Digraph switchings

Let G be a simple digraph. Its complement G^{c} is the digraph given by $A_{G^{\mathrm{c}}} = J_{n_G} - I_{n_G} - A_G$, where $J_{n_G} = \{1\}^{n_G\times n_G}$ denotes the all-ones matrix.

Proposition 18. *The completely generalized characteristic polynomial*

$$\eta^{\mathrm{c}}_G(x,y,t_\uparrow,t_\downarrow,u_\uparrow,u_\downarrow) = \det(xI_{n_G} + yJ_{n_G} + t_\uparrow D^{\mathrm{out}}_G + t_\downarrow D^{\mathrm{in}}_G + u_\uparrow A_G + u_\downarrow A_G^T)$$

has y-degree one. In particular, zeta-equivalent simple digraphs with zeta-equivalent complements have the same completely generalized characteristic polynomial.

Proof. The main idea goes back to [JN80]. If U diagonalizes the rank-one matrix J_{n_G}, then the conjugate $U(xI_{n_G}+yJ_{n_G}+t_\uparrow D_G^{\text{out}}+t_\downarrow D_G^{\text{in}}+u_\uparrow A_G+u_\downarrow A_G^T)U^{-1}$ has exactly one y-dependent entry. Moreover, $\eta_G^{\text{c}}(1,y,0,0,0,0) = 1+n_G y$, which shows the first statement. In particular, if $x, t_\uparrow, t_\downarrow, u_\uparrow$, and $u_\downarrow$ are given, then the affine function $y \mapsto \eta_G^{\text{c}}(x,y,t_\uparrow,t_\downarrow,u_\uparrow,u_\downarrow)$ is determined by its values at 0 and 1, which in turn are determined by η_G and $\eta_{G^{\text{c}}}$. □

We present a method for constructing digraphs as in the second part of Proposition 18. Let V_G denote the vertex set of G. If $v, v' \in V_G$ and $V, V' \subseteq V_G$, let $m_{V\to V'}$ denote the number of edges from V to V', and let $m_{v\to V'} = m_{\{v\}\to V'}$, $m_{V\to v'} = m_{V\to\{v'\}}$, and $m_{v\to v'} = m_{\{v\}\to\{v'\}}$. Following [Sch74], a partition $V_G = V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup V_p$ is said to be equitable if for every $i,j \in \{1,\ldots,p\}$ and every $v, v' \in V_i$, we have $m_{v\to V_j} = m_{v'\to V_j}$ as well as $m_{V_j\to v} = m_{V_j\to v'}$. An equitable partition corresponds to a numbering of V_G with respect to which A_G takes block form with blocks that have constant row sums and constant column sums. If $V, V' \subseteq V_G$, we write $V \not\to V'$, $V \not\rightrightarrows V'$, or $V \rightrightarrows V'$ to indicate that V is unlinked, half-linked, or fully linked to V', meaning that for every $v \in V$, we have $m_{v\to V'} = 0$, $\frac{1}{2}|V'|$, or $|V'|$, respectively. Whenever $V = \{v\}$ or $V' = \{v'\}$, we omit braces as above. Lastly, if $v \in V_G$ is half-linked to V, i.e., if $v \not\rightrightarrows V$, then switching of (v, V) means replacing the $\frac{1}{2}|V|$ existing edges from v to V by the $\frac{1}{2}|V|$ non-existing ones, and similarly for switching of (V, v).

Theorem 19. *Let G be a simple digraph with $V_G = V \sqcup V' \sqcup W \sqcup X$ such that the subdigraphs induced by V and V' feature an isomorphism $\Phi\colon V \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$, the subdigraphs induced by V, V', and W have equitable partitions, namely, $V = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^p V_i$, $V' = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^p V_i'$ with $V_i' = \Phi(V_i)$, and $W = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^q W_k$, and for every $i,j \in \{1,\ldots,p\}$, $k \in \{1,\ldots,q\}$, and $x \in X$,*

1. *$x \not\to V_i$ or $x \rightrightarrows V_i$, and $V_i \not\to x$ or $V_i \rightrightarrows x$,*
2. *$x \not\to V_i'$ or $x \rightrightarrows V_i'$, and $V_i' \not\to x$ or $V_i' \rightrightarrows x$,*
3. *there exists $\delta_{V_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that whenever $v \in V_i$ and $v' \in V_i'$, then*

$$\delta_{V_i} = m_{v'\to X} - m_{v\to X} = m_{X\to v'} - m_{X\to v},$$

4. *$x \not\to W_k$, $x \not\rightrightarrows W_k$, or $x \rightrightarrows W_k$, and $W_k \not\to x$, $W_k \not\rightrightarrows x$, or $W_k \rightrightarrows x$,*
5. *whenever $w, w' \in W_k$, then $m_{w\to X} = m_{w'\to X}$ and $m_{X\to w} = m_{X\to w'}$,*
6. *$V_i \sqcup V_i' \not\to W_k$ or $V_i \sqcup V_i' \rightrightarrows W_k$, and $W_k \not\to V_i \sqcup V_i'$ or $W_k \rightrightarrows V_i \sqcup V_i'$,*
7. *every $v \in V_i$ satisfies $v \rightrightarrows \Phi(v) \rightrightarrows v$ and $v \not\to V_i' \backslash \{\Phi(v)\} \not\to v$,*
8. *if $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{V_i} \neq \delta_{V_j}$, then $V_i \not\to V_j'$ and $V_i' \not\to V_j$, or $V_i \rightrightarrows V_j'$ and $V_i' \rightrightarrows V_j$, and $V_i \not\to V_j$ and $V_i' \not\to V_j'$, or $V_i \rightrightarrows V_j$ and $V_i' \rightrightarrows V_j'$;*
   if $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{V_i} = \delta_{V_j}$, then $V_i \not\to V_j'$ and $V_i' \not\to V_j$, or $V_i \rightrightarrows V_j'$ and $V_i' \rightrightarrows V_j$, or $V_i \not\rightrightarrows V_j'$, $V_i' \not\rightrightarrows V_j$, $m_{V_i\to v'} = \frac{1}{2}|V_i|$ for every $v' \in V_j'$, and there is an edge from $v \in V_i$ to $v' \in V_j'$ if and only if there is no edge from $\Phi(v) \in V_i'$ to $\Phi^{-1}(v') \in V_j$.

Let G' be the simple digraph obtained from G by performing all possible switchings of the form $(x, V_i \sqcup V_i')$, $(V_i \sqcup V_i', x)$, (x, W_k), and (W_k, x), where x ranges over X. Then, G and G' are zeta-equivalent and have zeta-equivalent complements.

If G is a graph and $V = V' = \varnothing$, then Theorem 19 reduces to GM*-switching as introduced in [HS04], which is a special case of Godsil-McKay switching [GM82]. The latter method uses that since $W = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^q W_k$ is equitable, there exists an invertible matrix Q, given below, such that the (x, W_k) and (W_k, x) switchings can be expressed as $A_{G'} = QA_GQ^{-1}$. The GM*-switching method adds the condition (5) to obtain $D_{G'} = QD_GQ^{-1}$ for the same matrix Q, which implies zeta-equivalence. In contrast, Theorem 19 applies to the graphs in Figure 1(B)

with $W = \varnothing$ and

$$V_1 = \{1,2\}, V_1' = \{3,4\}, V_2 = \{5,6\}, V_2' = \{7,8\}, X = \{9\}, \text{ and } \Phi(v) = v + 2,$$

but there is no invertible matrix Q with $A_{G'} = QA_GQ^{-1}$ and $D_{G'} = QD_GQ^{-1}$. The existence of zeta-equivalent graphs with this property was questioned in [WLLX11]. Below, we introduce a matrix R such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the affine combination $Q + tR$ is invertible and conjugates $tD_G + A_G$ into $tD_{G'} + A_{G'}$, which gives zeta-equivalence. Similarly, Durfee and Martin [DM14] used conjugators that are affine in t to obtain zeta-equivalent graphs, including the ones in Figure 1(B). Incidentally, we even found zeta-equivalent graphs such that any conjugator with polynomial entries in t is at least quadratic in t.

Proof of Theorem 19. For $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $J_{nn'} = \{1\}^{n\times n'}$, $J_n = J_{nn}$, and

$$Q_n = \frac{2}{n}J_n - I_n.$$

Following [GM82], we note that $Q_nJ_{nn'} = J_{nn'}Q_{n'} = J_{nn'}$ and $Q_n = Q_n^T = Q_n^{-1}$. Moreover, if $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n'}$ has constant row sums and constant column sums, then $Q_nB = BQ_{n'}$, and if $B \in \{0,1\}^{2n\times n'}$ has n zeros and n ones in each of its columns, then $Q_{2n}B = J_{2n\times n'} - B$. Let

$$R_n^{\mathrm{sym}} = (R_n^{\mathrm{sym}})^T = Q_n - I_n = \frac{2}{n}J_n - 2I_n$$

and

$$R_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_n^{\mathrm{sym}} \\ -R_n^{\mathrm{sym}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n'}$ has constant row sums and constant column sums, then $R_n^{\mathrm{sym}}B = BR_{n'}^{\mathrm{sym}}$. Moreover, we have $R_n^{\mathrm{sym}}J_{nn'} = J_{nn'}R_{n'}^{\mathrm{sym}} = 0$, and therefore $Q_{2n}R_{2n} = (\frac{1}{n}J_{2n} - I_{2n})R_{2n} = -R_{2n}$. Since R_{2n} is skew-symmetric, its eigenvalues are purely imaginary. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $Q_{2n}(Q_{2n} + tR_{2n}) = I_{2n} - tR_{2n}$ is invertible, which implies the same statement for $Q_{2n} + tR_{2n}$.

We number the vertices of G according to the partition

$$V_G = (V_1 \sqcup V_1') \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (V_p \sqcup V_p') \sqcup W_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup W_q \sqcup X$$

in such a way that $\Phi\colon V \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$ is an order isomorphism. Then, A_G takes the block form

$$A_G = \begin{pmatrix} B_{V_1^*V_1^*} & \cdots & B_{V_1^*V_p^*} & B_{V_1^*W_1} & \cdots & B_{V_1^*W_q} & B_{V_1^*X} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{V_p^*V_1^*} & \cdots & B_{V_p^*V_p^*} & B_{V_p^*W_1} & \cdots & B_{V_p^*W_q} & B_{V_p^*X} \\ B_{W_1V_1^*} & \cdots & B_{W_1V_p^*} & B_{W_1W_1} & \cdots & B_{W_1W_q} & B_{W_1X} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{W_qV_1^*} & \cdots & B_{W_qV_p^*} & B_{W_qW_1} & \cdots & B_{W_qW_q} & B_{W_qX} \\ B_{XV_1^*} & \cdots & B_{XV_p^*} & B_{XW_1} & \cdots & B_{XW_q} & B_{XX} \end{pmatrix}$$

with subblocks of the form

$$B_{V_i^*V_j^*} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{V_iV_j} & B_{V_iV_j'} \\ B_{V_i'V_j} & B_{V_i'V_j'} \end{pmatrix}, B_{V_i^*Y} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{V_iY} \\ B_{V_i'Y} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } B_{YV_i^*} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{YV_i} & B_{YV_i'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Blocks involving X are constrained by conditions (1) to (5), blocks involving V and W are constrained by (6), and blocks involving only V are constrained by (7) and (8). More precisely, (1) and (2) say that any column of B_{V_iX} or $B_{V_i'X}$ and any row of B_{XV_i} or $B_{XV_i'}$ is either the

zero vector or the all-ones vector. Similarly, (4) says that any column of B_{W_kX} and any row of B_{XW_k} contains 0, $\frac{1}{2}|W_k|$, or $|W_k|$ ones, with zeros in the other entries. Also, (6) says that $B_{V_i^*W_k}, B_{W_kV_i^*}^T \in \{0, J_{2|V_i|\times|W_k|}\}$. With regard to $B_{V_iV_j}$ and $B_{W_kW_l}$, recall that $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^p V_i$ and $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^q W_k$ are equitable so that these matrices have constant row sums and constant column sums. By virtue of $\Phi\colon V \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$, we moreover have $B_{V_iV_j} = B_{V_i'V_j'}$. Condition (7) can be rephrased as $B_{V_iV_i'} = B_{V_i'V_i} = I_{|V_i|}$, and (8) says that if $i \neq j$, then $B_{V_iV_j'}$ and $B_{V_i'V_j}$ have the same constant row sums and the same constant column sums, and $B_{V_iV_j'} + B_{V_i'V_j}$ is a multiple of $J_{|V_i|\times|V_j|}$, regardless of which case applies. Hence, $B_{V_i^*V_j^*}$, $B_{V_i^*W_k}$, and $B_{W_kV_j^*}$ have constant row sums and constant column sums. Thus, D_G^{out} is block-diagonal with V_iV_i- and $V_i'V_i'$-blocks of the form $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{V_iV_i} = d_{V_i}^{\text{out}} I_{|V_i|}$ and $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{V_i'V_i'} = (d_{V_i}^{\text{out}} + \delta_{V_i})I_{|V_i|}$, respectively, and similarly for D_G^{in}. Equally, each $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{W_kW_k}$ or $[D_G^{\text{in}}]_{W_kW_k}$ is a multiple of $I_{|W_k|}$ by virtue of (5). We define block-diagonal matrices

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \operatorname{diag}\left(Q_{2|V_1|}, \ldots, Q_{2|V_p|}, Q_{|W_1|}, \ldots, Q_{|W_q|}, I_{|X|}\right), \\ R &= \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{V_1} R_{2|V_1|}, \ldots, \delta_{V_p} R_{2|V_p|}, 0, \ldots, 0, 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the diagonal blocks of $Q + tR$ are invertible, which is why $Q + tR$ is invertible. Performing all switchings of the form $(x, V_i \sqcup V_i')$, where x ranges over X, corresponds to replacing $B_{XV_i^*}$ by $B_{XV_i^*}Q_{2|V_i|}$, and similarly for $(V_i \sqcup V_i', x)$, (x, W_k), and (W_k, x). Taken all together, we have $QA_GQ = A_{G'}$, which gives $QA_G^TQ = A_{G'}^T$ by virtue of $Q = Q^T = Q^{-1}$. Moreover, $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{V_iV_i} = [D_{G'}^{\text{out}}]_{V_i'V_i'}$ and $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{V_i'V_i'} = [D_{G'}^{\text{out}}]_{V_iV_i}$. We obtain that $RD_G^{\text{out}} = D_{G'}^{\text{out}}R$ since their $V_i^*V_i^*$-blocks are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\delta_{V_i}}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} \\ -R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} d_{V_i}^{\text{out}} I_{|V_i|} & 0 \\ 0 & (d_{V_i}^{\text{out}} + \delta_{V_i})I_{|V_i|} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\qquad = \frac{\delta_{V_i}}{4}\begin{pmatrix} (d_{V_i}^{\text{out}} + \delta_{V_i})I_{|V_i|} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{V_i}^{\text{out}} I_{|V_i|} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} \\ -R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $RD_G^{\text{in}} = D_{G'}^{\text{in}}R$. Next, we consider the block-diagonal matrix $QD_G^{\text{out}} - D_{G'}^{\text{out}}Q$. We note that $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{W_kW_k} = d_{W_k}^{\text{out}} I_{|W_k|} = [D_{G'}^{\text{out}}]_{W_kW_k}$ as well as $[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{XX} = [D_{G'}^{\text{out}}]_{XX}$. In particular, the W_kW_k-blocks and the XX-block of $QD_G^{\text{out}} - D_{G'}^{\text{out}}Q$ are zero, whereas its $V_i^*V_i^*$-blocks are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|V_i|}\left(J_{2|V_i|}[D_G^{\text{out}}]_{V_i^*V_i^*} - [D_{G'}^{\text{out}}]_{V_i^*V_i^*}J_{2|V_i|}\right) - [D_G^{\text{out}}]_{V_i^*V_i^*} + [D_{G'}^{\text{out}}]_{V_i^*V_i^*} \\ &= \frac{1}{|V_i|}\begin{pmatrix} -\delta_{V_i}J_{|V_i|} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{V_i}J_{|V_i|} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{V_i}I_{|V_i|} & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_{V_i}I_{|V_i|} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\delta_{V_i}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $QD_G^{\text{in}} - D_{G'}^{\text{in}}Q$. Finally, we consider $RA_G - A_{G'}R$. Recall that the nonzero subblocks of R, namely $R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}}$, annihilate all-ones vectors when multiplied from left or right. In combination with $[R]_{W_kW_k} = 0$ and $[R]_{XX} = 0$, we obtain that any block of $RA_G - A_{G'}R$ which involves a W_k or X is zero. It therefore suffices to consider its $V_i^*V_j^*$-blocks given by

$$\frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \delta_{V_i}B_{V_i'V_j} + \delta_{V_j}B_{V_iV_j'} & \delta_{V_i}B_{V_i'V_j'} - \delta_{V_j}B_{V_iV_j} \\ -\delta_{V_i}B_{V_iV_j} + \delta_{V_j}B_{V_i'V_j'} & -\delta_{V_i}B_{V_iV_j'} - \delta_{V_j}B_{V_i'V_j} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we used that the blocks of $B_{V_i^*V_j^*}$ have constant row sums and constant column sums. Since $R_{|V_i|}^{\text{sym}}$ annihilates multiples of $J_{|V_i|\times|V_j|}$, this matrix is zero whenever $i \neq j$, regardless

of which case in (8) applies. For $i = j$, we use that $B_{V_iV_i} = B_{V_i'V_i'}$ and $B_{V_iV_i'} = B_{V_i'V_i} = I_{|V_i|}$ to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}[RA_G - A_{G'}R]_{V_i^*V_i^*} &= \frac{\delta_{V_i}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} R^{\mathrm{sym}}_{|V_i|} & 0 \\ 0 & -R^{\mathrm{sym}}_{|V_i|}\end{pmatrix} \\ &= -[QD^{\mathrm{out}}_G - D^{\mathrm{out}}_{G'}Q]_{V_i^*V_i^*} = -[QD^{\mathrm{in}}_G - D^{\mathrm{in}}_{G'}Q]_{V_i^*V_i^*}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $RA_G^T - A_{G'}^T R = RA_G - A_{G'}R = -(QD^{\mathrm{out}}_G - D^{\mathrm{out}}_{G'}Q) = -(QD^{\mathrm{in}}_G - D^{\mathrm{in}}_{G'}Q)$.

For $\boldsymbol{z} = (x, y, t_\uparrow, t_\downarrow, u_\uparrow, u_\downarrow) \in \mathbb{C}^6$, define

$$L_G(\boldsymbol{z}) = xI_{n_G} + yJ_{n_G} + t_\uparrow D^{\mathrm{out}}_G + t_\downarrow D^{\mathrm{in}}_G + u_\uparrow A_G + u_\downarrow A_G^T,$$

and likewise for $L_{G'}(\boldsymbol{z})$, so that $\eta^{\mathrm{c}}_G(\boldsymbol{z}) = \det(L_G(\boldsymbol{z}))$ and $\eta^{\mathrm{c}}_{G'}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \det(L_{G'}(\boldsymbol{z}))$, respectively. We note that $QJ_{n_G} = J_{n_G} = J_{n_G}Q$ and $RJ_{n_G} = 0 = J_{n_G}R$ to obtain that for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(Q + tR)L_G(\boldsymbol{z}) - L_{G'}(\boldsymbol{z})(Q + tR) = (t(u_\uparrow + u_\downarrow) - (t_\uparrow + t_\downarrow))(RA_G - A_{G'}R).$$

Hence, $\eta^{\mathrm{c}}_G(\boldsymbol{z}) = \eta^{\mathrm{c}}_{G'}(\boldsymbol{z})$ whenever $u_\uparrow \neq -u_\downarrow$ and $t = (t_\uparrow + t_\downarrow)/(u_\uparrow + u_\downarrow)$ is different from the finitely many roots of $t \mapsto \det(Q + tR)$, which implies $\eta^{\mathrm{c}}_G(\boldsymbol{z}) = \eta^{\mathrm{c}}_{G'}(\boldsymbol{z})$ for all $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^6$. □

References

[Ami80] S. A. Amitsur, *On the characteristic polynomial of a sum of matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **8** (1979/80), no. 3, 177–182.

[Bar99] Laurent Bartholdi, *Counting paths in graphs*, Enseign. Math. (2) **45** (1999), no. 1-2, 83–131.

[Bar08] ———, *Counting paths in graphs*, arXiv:math/0012161v2.

[Bas92] Hyman Bass, *The Ihara-Selberg zeta function of a tree lattice*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), no. 6, 717–797.

[CDS80] Dragoš M. Cvetković, Michael Doob, and Horst Sachs, *Spectra of graphs*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 87, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980, Theory and application.

[CKPS07] Young-Bin Choe, Jin Ho Kwak, Yong Sung Park, and Iwao Sato, *Bartholdi zeta and L-functions of weighted digraphs, their coverings and products*, Adv. Math. **213** (2007), no. 2, 865–886.

[DM14] Christina Durfee and Kimball Martin, *Distinguishing graphs with zeta functions and generalized spectra*, arXiv:1410.1610v1.

[FZ99] Dominique Foata and Doron Zeilberger, *A combinatorial proof of Bass's evaluations of the Ihara-Selberg zeta function for graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 6, 2257–2274.

[GM76] C. Godsil and B. McKay, *Some computational results on the spectra of graphs*, Combinatorial mathematics, IV (Proc. Fourth Australian Conf., Univ. Adelaide, Adelaide, 1975), Springer, Berlin, 1976, pp. 73–92. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 560.

[GM82] C. D. Godsil and B. D. McKay, *Constructing cospectral graphs*, Aequationes Math. **25** (1982), no. 2-3, 257–268.

[Has89] Ki-ichiro Hashimoto, *Zeta functions of finite graphs and representations of p-adic groups*, Automorphic forms and geometry of arithmetic varieties, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 15, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 211–280.

[Has92] ———, *Artin type L-functions and the density theorem for prime cycles on finite graphs*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), no. 6, 809–826.

[HS04] Willem H. Haemers and Edward Spence, *Enumeration of cospectral graphs*, European J. Combin. **25** (2004), no. 2, 199–211.

[Iha66] Yasutaka Ihara, *On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over* $\mathfrak{p}$*-adic fields*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 219–235.

[JN80] Charles R. Johnson and Morris Newman, *A note on cospectral graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **28** (1980), no. 1, 96–103.

[McK77] Brendan D. McKay, *On the spectral characterisation of trees*, Ars Combinatoria **3** (1977), 219–232.

[Mnu80] V. B. Mnuhin, *Spectra of graphs under certain unary operations*, Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Inst. Mat. Preprint (1980), no. 8 Nekotorye Topologicheskile i Kombinator. Svoistva Grafov, 38–44.

[Osb13] Steven P. Osborne, *Cospectral bipartite graphs for the normalized Laplacian*, Ph.D. thesis, 2013.

[Sch73] Allen J. Schwenk, *Almost all trees are cospectral*, New directions in the theory of graphs (Proc. Third Ann Arbor Conf., Univ. Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 275–307.

[Sch74] ———, *Computing the characteristic polynomial of a graph*, Graphs and combinatorics (Proc. Capital Conf., George Washington Univ., Washington, D.C., 1973), Springer, Berlin, 1974, pp. 153–172. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 406.

[WLLX11] Wei Wang, Feng Li, Hongliang Lu, and Zongben Xu, *Graphs determined by their generalized characteristic polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. **434** (2011), no. 5, 1378–1387.

Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA
E-mail address: peter.herbrich@dartmouth.edu